

1 ERNEST GALVAN – 196065
BLAKE THOMPSON – 255600
2 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP
315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor
3 San Francisco, California 94104-1823
Telephone: (415) 433-6830
4 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104
Email: egalvan@rbgg.com
5 bthompson@rbgg.com

6 JULIA YOO – 231163
IREDALE & YOO APC
7 105 West “F” Street, 4th Floor
San Diego, California 92101-6087
8 Telephone: (619) 233-1525
Facsimile: (619) 233-3221
9 Email: jyoo@iredalelaw.com

10 LANCE WEBER – Fla. Bar No. 104550*
11 SABARISH NEELAKANTA – Fla. Bar No. 26623*
HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER
12 P.O. Box 1151
Lake Worth, Florida 33460
13 Telephone: (561) 360-2523
Facsimile: (866) 735-7136
14 Email: lweber@humanrightsdefensecenter.org
sneelakanta@humanrightsdefensecenter.org

15 * *Pro Hac Vice* Applications To Be Filed

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff

17
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 PRISON LEGAL NEWS, a project of the
HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; WILLIAM D.
24 GORE, RICH MILLER, WILL BROWN,
in their individual and official capacities,
25 DOES 1-10, in their individual and official
capacities,

26 Defendants.
27

Case No. '14CV2417 L NLS

**COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER
THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42
U.S.C. §1983 AND DAMAGES**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff PRISON LEGAL NEWS (“PLN” or “Plaintiff”), a project of the Human Rights Defense Center, brings this action regarding Defendants’ censorship of its monthly publication and of correspondence mailed to prisoners and pre-trial detainees (collectively, “inmates”) held in custody at San Diego County jails, in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Defendants have adopted and implemented mail policies and practices that unconstitutionally restrict PLN’s correspondence to inmates to postcards only and have unconstitutionally limited PLN’s ability to send books to inmates. Defendants’ mail policies and practices do not afford adequate notice and an opportunity to challenge the censorship, in violation of PLN’s right to due process. Defendants’ actions violate PLN’s rights and the rights of others under the First Amendment and the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment. PLN thus brings this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, seeking injunctive and declaratory relief, and damages to be proven at trial.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction and diversity jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1343, 2201, and 2202.

2. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because substantial acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, including Defendants’ implementation of the challenged mail policies and practices, and because Defendants reside in this District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff PRISON LEGAL NEWS is a project of the Human Rights Defense Center (“HRDC”), a Washington Non-Profit Corporation. The core of HRDC’s mission is public and prisoner education, advocacy, and outreach in

1 support of prisoners' rights and in furtherance of their basic human rights. PLN
2 publishes and distributes a monthly journal of corrections news and analysis, and
3 offers and sells books about the criminal justice system, legal reference books, and
4 self-help books of interest to prisoners. PLN also maintains a website
5 (www.prisonlegalnews.org) and operates an email list. PLN has a broad audience,
6 including prisoners, lawyers, courts, libraries, and members of the general public
7 throughout the country and abroad.

8 4. Defendant COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO is a municipal corporation,
9 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Defendant
10 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO is, and was at all relevant times mentioned herein,
11 responsible for the actions and/or inactions and the policies, procedures, customs
12 and practices of the San Diego County Sheriff's Department ("the Sheriff's
13 Department" or "the Department") and its employees and agents. The Department
14 operates seven (7) detention facilities: San Diego Central Jail, Las Colinas Detention
15 Facility, Vista Detention Facility, George Bailey Detention Facility, South Bay
16 Detention Facility, East Mesa Reentry Facility, and Facility 8 Detention Facility
17 (collectively, the "jails"), and is and was responsible for adopting and implementing
18 mail policies governing incoming mail for inmates at San Diego County jails.

19 5. Defendant WILLIAM D. GORE is the Sheriff of the COUNTY OF
20 SAN DIEGO, and has held this position since July 3, 2009. Defendant GORE is
21 employed by and is an agent of Defendant COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO and the
22 Department. He is responsible for overseeing the management and operations of the
23 jails, and for the hiring, screening, training, retention, supervision, discipline,
24 counseling, and control of the personnel of the San Diego County jails who interpret
25 and apply the mail policy for inmates. As Sheriff, Defendant GORE is a final
26 policymaker for Defendant COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO with respect to the
27 operations of the San Diego County jails, including for policies governing incoming
28 mail for inmates. He is sued in his individual and official capacities.

1 6. Defendant RICH MILLER is an Assistant Sheriff of the COUNTY OF
2 SAN DIEGO, in charge of the Detention Services Bureau, and was appointed to the
3 position in 2014. He is employed by and is an agent of Defendant COUNTY OF
4 SAN DIEGO and the Sheriff's Department. The Detention Services Bureau
5 includes all jail responsibilities related to inmate services, including but not limited
6 to reception, booking and classification, housing, and other jail services. As
7 Assistant Sheriff in charge of the Detention Services Bureau, Defendant MILLER is
8 responsible for the operation and management of the San Diego County jails and for
9 the promulgation and implementation of Detention Services Bureau policies,
10 including the inmate mail policies challenged herein. He is also responsible for the
11 hiring, screening, training, retention, supervision, discipline, counseling, and control
12 of the personnel of the San Diego County jails who interpret and apply the mail
13 policy for inmates. He is sued in his individual and official capacities.

14 7. Defendant WILL BROWN is a Commander with the Detention
15 Services Bureau for the Sheriff's Department. He is employed by and is an agent of
16 Defendant COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO and the Sheriff's Department. Defendant
17 BROWN is responsible for the Inmate Processing Division, Inmate Services
18 Division, Detention Training Unit, Jail Population Management Unit, Detention
19 Gangs Unit, Detention Investigations Unit, Detention Support Division, the Prisoner
20 Transportation Division and the Jail Information Management System. In these
21 capacities, Defendant BROWN is responsible for the implementation of Detention
22 Services Bureau policies at San Diego County jail facilities, including the inmate
23 mail policies challenged herein, and for the hiring, screening, training, retention,
24 supervision, discipline, counseling, and control of the personnel of the San Diego
25 County jails who interpret and apply the mail policy for inmates. He is sued in his
26 individual and official capacities.

27 8. The true names and identities of Defendants DOES 1 through 10 are
28 presently unknown to PLN. Each of Defendants DOES 1 through 10 are or were

1 employed by and are or were agents of Defendant COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO and
2 the Sheriff's Department when some or all of the challenged inmate mail policies
3 and practices were adopted and/or implemented. Each of Defendants DOES 1
4 through 10 are or were personally involved in the adoption and/or implementation of
5 the Detention Services Division's mail policies for inmates, and/or are or were
6 responsible for the hiring, screening, training, retention, supervision, discipline,
7 counseling, and/or control of the San Diego County jails' staff who interpret and
8 implement these inmate mail policies. They are sued in their individual and official
9 capacities. PLN will seek to amend this Complaint as soon as the true names and
10 identities of Defendants DOES 1 through 10 have been ascertained.

11 9. Each and every act and omission alleged herein of Defendants, their
12 officers, agents, servants, employees, or persons acting at their behest or direction,
13 were done and are continuing to be done under the color of state law and within the
14 scope of their official duties as employees or agents of Defendant COUNTY OF
15 SAN DIEGO and the Sheriff's Department.

16 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

17 10. Plaintiff PRISON LEGAL NEWS publishes and distributes *Prison*
18 *Legal News: Dedicated to Protecting Human Rights*, a monthly journal of
19 corrections news and analysis. PLN also publishes and distributes paperback books
20 about the criminal justice system and legal issues impacting prisoners.

21 11. PLN has thousands of subscribers in the United States and abroad,
22 including prisoners, attorneys, journalists, public libraries, judges, and other
23 members of the public. PLN distributes its publication to prisoners and law
24 librarians in approximately 2,200 correctional facilities across the United States,
25 including institutions within the Federal Bureau of Prisons and all of the adult
26 prisons of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.

27 12. PLN engages in core protected speech and expressive conduct on
28 matters of public concern, such as the operations of corrections facilities, jail and

1 prison conditions, prisoner health and safety, and prisoners’ rights. PLN regularly
2 receives correspondence from inmates in correctional facilities around the country,
3 including San Diego County jails, in which they ask questions and report on jail or
4 prison conditions.

5 **Censorship and Lack of Due Process**

6 13. Defendants have censored PLN’s monthly journal, books,
7 informational brochure packets, subscription renewal letters, and court rulings
8 mailed to inmates held in custody at San Diego County jails, by refusing to deliver
9 said items to the prisoners and, in some instances, by returning items to PLN’s
10 offices via the “Return To Sender” service of the United States Postal Service.
11 Defendants continue to censor many of the items listed above.

12 14. By returning items to sender, Defendants have censored materials
13 mailed by PLN on at least sixty-one (61) occasions from October 2012 to the
14 present, including, but not limited to, the items identified below. On information
15 and belief, Defendants have censored hundreds of other items but not returned the
16 materials to PLN.

17 **Censorship of PLN’s Monthly Journal**

18 15. PLN’s monthly journal, *Prison Legal News*, is a black and white 64-
19 page soft cover publication that contains articles on corrections news and analysis
20 about prisoner rights, court rulings, the management of prison and jail facilities, and
21 conditions of confinement.

22 16. On or about October 10, 2012, PLN mailed its September 2012 *Prison*
23 *Legal News* publication to the following two (2) prisoners at the Las Colinas
24 Detention Center, each of whom were in custody there at the time that the
25 publications were received:

Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
Debra Jones	Las Colinas	October 10, 2012
Lavinia Wofford	Las Colinas	October 10, 2012

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants did not deliver the monthly journals to these inmates to whom they were addressed, sending them back by return mail, and marking them with an ink stamp noting: (1) "RETURN TO SENDER. ONLY POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE FACILITY – SAN DIEGO SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT."

17. On or about March 4, 2013, PLN mailed its February 2013 *Prison Legal News* publication to the following two (2) prisoners at the Las Colinas and George F. Bailey Detention Facilities, each of whom were in custody there at the time that the publications were received.

Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
Katherine Martin	Las Colinas	March 4, 2013
Daniel Sorenson	George F. Bailey	March 4, 2013

Defendants did not deliver the publications to the inmate-addressees, sending them back by return mail, and marking them with an ink stamp noting: (1) "RETURN TO SENDER. ONLY POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE FACILITY – SAN DIEGO SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT;" and (2) "RETURN TO SENDER, REASON CHECKED: ATTEMPTED – NOT KNOWN."

18. On or about April 26, 2013, PLN mailed its April 2013 *Prison Legal News* publication to the following prisoner at the Las Colinas Detention Facility, who was in custody there at the time that the publication was received:

Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
Diane Brown	Las Colinas	April 26, 2013

Defendants did not deliver the publication to the inmate-addressee, sending it back by return mail, and marking it with an ink stamp noting: (1) "RETURN TO SENDER. ONLY POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE FACILITY – SAN DIEGO SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT;" and (2) "RETURN TO SENDER, REASON CHECKED: ATTEMPTED – NOT KNOWN."

19. On or about May 15, 2013, PLN mailed its April 2013 *Prison Legal*

[1330019-2]

1 News publication to the following prisoner at the George F. Bailey Detention
2 Facility, who was in custody there at the time that the publication was received:

3	Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
4	Luis Eternod	George F. Bailey	May 15, 2013

5 Defendants did not deliver the publication to the inmate-addressee, sending it back
6 by return mail, and marking it with a black marker pen, noting: (1) "RTS."

7 20. On or about November 6, 2013, PLN mailed its January 2013 *Prison*
8 *Legal News* publication to the following prisoner at the San Diego Central Jail, who
9 was in custody there at the time that the publication was received:

10	Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
11	Andre Smith	San Diego Central	November 6, 2013

12 Defendants did not deliver the publication to the inmate-addressee, sending it back
13 by return mail, and marking it with a black marker pen, noting: (1) "RTS."

14 21. On or about February 19, 2014, PLN mailed its January 2014 *Prison*
15 *Legal News* publication to the following prisoner at the George F. Bailey Detention
16 Facility, who was in custody there at the time that the publication was received:

17	Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
18	Larry Mallory	George F. Bailey	February 19, 2014

19 Defendants did not deliver the publication to the inmate-addressee, sending it back
20 by return mail, and marking it with a black marker pen, noting: (1) "RTS."

21 22. On or about July 16, 2014, PLN mailed its June 2014 *Prison Legal*
22 *News* publication to the following prisoner at the George F. Bailey Detention
23 Facility, who was in custody there at the time that the publication was received:

24	Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
25	David Dadon	George F. Bailey	July 16, 2014

26 Defendants did not deliver the publication to the inmate-addressee, sending it back
27 by return mail, and marking it with a black marker pen, noting: (1) "RTS."

28 23. On information and belief, Defendants refused to deliver at least an

1 additional forty-three (43) *Prison Legal News* issues that PLN mailed to inmates,
2 other than those identified above, who were in custody at the San Diego County jails
3 at the time the publications were received.

4 24. Currently, PLN has thirty-seven (37) subscribers at the San Diego
5 County jails. PLN continues to pursue its mission to promote public safety through
6 educational and journalistic avenues by sending its monthly publication to inmates
7 confined at San Diego County jails.

8 **Censorship of Subscription Renewal Letters**

9 25. Defendants also have censored PLN’s correspondence with inmates
10 containing PLN “Subscription Renewal Letters.” Since at least October 2012,
11 Defendants have censored PLN’s Subscription Renewal Letters by refusing to
12 deliver it to two (2) inmates to whom they were addressed, sending it back by return
13 mail, and marking it with a black marker pen, noting: (1) “RTS-POSTCARDS
14 ONLY”; (2) RETURN TO SENDER – ONLY POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE
15 FACILITY. SAN DIEGO SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT” or simply “RTS.”

16 26. Since October 2012, Defendants censored PLN’s attempt to send
17 Subscription Renewal Letters to the following two (2) inmates at the San Diego
18 County Jails:

Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
Larry Mallory	George F. Bailey	October 4, 2013
John Stratton	East Mesa	November 1, 2013

22 27. On information and belief, Defendants refused to deliver additional
23 Fifty-Two (52) Subscription Renewal Letters that PLN mailed to inmates, other than
24 those identified above, who were in custody at the San Diego County jails at the
25 time the Subscription Renewal Letters were received.

26 **Censorship of PLN’s Informational Brochure Packet**

27 28. Defendants have censored PLN’s Informational Brochure Packet by
28 refusing to deliver it to the inmates to whom it was addressed. PLN’s

1 “Informational Brochure Packet” includes the three items described below: (1) The
2 *Prison Legal News* Brochure and Subscription Order Form; (2) The PLN Book List;
3 and (3) The Published Books Brochure.

4 (a) ***Prison Legal News* Brochure and Subscription Order Form:**

5 This brochure and order form include: a description of the topics covered in PLN’s
6 monthly magazine; subscription rates, special subscription offers, a subscription
7 order form; a description of three books available for purchase or included with a
8 subscription to *Prison Legal News—Protecting Your Health & Safety, With Liberty*
9 *for Some: 500 Years of Imprisonment in America*, and *Prison Profiteers: Who*
10 *Makes Money from Mass Incarceration*; and other information about PLN’s
11 bookstore.

12 (b) **PLN Book List:** The book list includes a description of 42

13 books, dictionaries, and legal resource materials available for purchase. The books
14 available for purchase cover a variety of topics, including: the basic rights of
15 prisoners regarding health and safety; the American criminal justice system; finding
16 the right lawyer; DNA testing; issues related to imprisoned women; self-
17 representation in court; developing a successful re-entry plan upon correctional
18 release; searching for a job; crime and poverty; and the mental health crisis in U.S.
19 prisons and jails.

20 (c) **PLN Published Books Brochure:** The PLN Published Books

21 brochure details two books published by PLN and includes detailed information
22 about and an order form for (1) a comprehensive book on high school, vocational,
23 paralegal, undergraduate, and graduate courses available to prisoners through
24 written correspondence programs of study; and (2) a legal resource book on the
25 topic of ineffective assistance of counsel and habeas corpus litigation.

26 29. Since at least October 2012, and continuing to the present day, PLN
27 mailed its Informational Brochure Packet addressed to at least ten (10) prisoners
28 within the San Diego County Jail system on or about the following dates, which

1 were subsequently censored by their respective facilities:

2	Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
3	Lavinia Wofford	Las Colinas	October 10, 2012
4	Debra Jones	Las Colinas	October 10, 2012
5	Sherry Lackey	Las Colinas	October 12, 2012
6	Katherine Martin	Las Colinas	March 4, 2013
7	James Waddell	San Diego Central	March 4, 2013
8	Diane Brown	Las Colinas	April 26, 2013
9	Abner Lister	George F. Bailey	January 21, 2014
10	Aaron Hurst	Facility 8	July 23, 2014
11	Eli Robinson	George F. Bailey	August 18, 2014
12	Christopher Macias	George F. Bailey	September 19, 2014

13 30. Defendants have censored PLN's Informational Brochure Packet by
 14 refusing to deliver it to the inmates to whom it is addressed, sometimes sending it by
 15 return mail and indicating various reasons for return including the following: (1)
 16 "RETURN TO SENDER"; (2) "RTS"; (3) "RETURN TO SENDER. ONLY
 17 POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE FACILITY – SAN DIEGO SHERIFF'S
 18 DEPARTMENT."

19 31. On information and belief, Defendants refused to deliver at least an
 20 additional forty-one (41) Informational Brochure Packets that PLN mailed to
 21 inmates, other than those identified above, who were in custody at the San Diego
 22 County jails at the time the Informational Brochure Packets were received.

23 32. PLN continues its educational and journalistic mission by sending its
 24 Informational Brochure Packets to inmates confined at San Diego County jails.

25 **Censorship of Photocopies and Internet Printouts**

26 33. Defendants also have censored PLN's correspondence with inmates
 27 containing the following Internet-based printouts of case law by refusing to deliver
 28 copies of the following decisions: *Clement v. California Dept. of Corr.*, 364 F.3d
 1148 (9th Cir. 2004); *Prison Legal News v. Lehman*, 397 F.3d 692 (9th Cir. 2005);
Prison Legal News v. Columbia County, Case No. 3:12-CV-00071-SI, 2012 U.S.

1 Dist. LEXIS 74030 (D. Or. May 29, 2012); and *Prison Legal News v. County of*
 2 *Ventura*, Case No. 14-0773-GHK, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84574 (C.D. Cal. June 16,
 3 2014).

4 34. Since at least March 2013, and continuing to the present day, PLN
 5 mailed these internet-based printouts of case law addressed to sixteen (16) prisoners
 6 within the San Diego County Jail system on or about the following dates, which
 7 were subsequently censored by their respective facilities:

8 Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Case:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
9 Katherine Martin	Las Colinas	<i>Lehman</i>	March 6, 2013
Katherine Martin	Las Colinas	<i>Clement</i>	March 6, 2013
10 James Waddell	San Diego Central	<i>Lehman</i>	March 6, 2013
11 James Waddell	San Diego Central	<i>Clement</i>	March 6, 2013
12 Katherine Martin	Las Colinas	<i>Columbia</i>	March 6, 2013
John Stratton	East Mesa	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
13 Debra Jones	Las Colinas	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
14 Regina Johnson	Las Colinas	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
15 Sherry Lackey	Las Colinas	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
Isaiah Bowman	San Diego Central	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
16 Kari Harris	San Diego Central	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
17 Cameron Parker	East Mesa	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
18 Raymond Wight	East Mesa	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
Steven Macomber	East Mesa	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
19 Steven Hummel	San Diego Central	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
20 Richard Fox	George Bailey	<i>Columbia</i>	April 1, 2013
21 Diane Brown	Las Colinas	<i>Lehman</i>	April 29, 2013
Diane Brown	Las Colinas	<i>Clement</i>	April 29, 2013
22 Eli Robinson	George Bailey	<i>Ventura</i>	August 12, 2014

23
 24 35. These items have been sent by return mail indicating various reasons
 25 for return, including the following: (1) "RETURN TO SENDER – REFUSED"; (2)
 26 "RETURN TO SENDER. ONLY POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE FACILITY
 27 – SAN DIEGO SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT"; (3) "UNACCEPTABLE MAIL"; (4)
 28 "RETURN TO SENDER – UNDELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED";

1 (5) "RETURN TO SENDER"; and (6) "RTS." PLN has also received information
2 from prisoners reflecting that three other mailings of case law were censored.

3 36. On information and belief, Defendants refused to deliver at least an
4 additional One Hundred and Fifty-Five (155) internet-based printouts of case law
5 that PLN mailed to inmates, other than those identified above, who were in custody
6 at the San Diego County jails at the time the internet-based printouts of case law
7 were received.

8 37. PLN continues its educational and journalistic mission by sending
9 Internet-based printouts of case law to inmates confined at San Diego County jails.

10 Censorship of PLN Letters

11 38. Since October 2012, Defendants also have censored PLN's letter
12 correspondence with inmates. PLN mailed individually addressed letters from its
13 Editor, Paul Wright, to thirteen (13) separate prisoners at the San Diego County jails
14 on or about the following dates, which were subsequently censored by their
15 respective facilities:

16 Prisoner Name:	17 Facility:	18 Date Mailed to Prisoner:
19 Mark Davis	Vista	October 18, 2012
20 Alfredo Ortega	South Bay	October 18, 2012
21 Anthony Harris	South Bay	October 18, 2012
22 Jimmy Bray	South Bay	October 18, 2012
23 Lance Ware	South Bay	October 18, 2012
24 Richard Fox	South Bay	October 18, 2012
25 Derek Dahl	San Diego Central	October 18, 2012
26 Edward Crenshaw	San Diego Central	October 18, 2012
Sherry Lackey	Las Colinas	October 18, 2012
Deborah Fenex	Las Colinas	October 18, 2012
Regina Johnson	Las Colinas	October 18, 2012
Rebecca Williams	Las Colinas	October 18, 2012
Sandra Lamb	Las Colinas	October 18, 2012

27 39. These items have been sent by return mail indicating various reasons
28 for return, including the following: (1) "RETURN TO SENDER. ONLY

1 POSTCARDS ACCEPTED AT THE FACILITY – SAN DIEGO SHERIFF’S
2 DEPARTMENT”; (2) and “RETURN TO SENDER”

3 40. On information and belief, Defendants refused to deliver at least an
4 additional twenty-four (24) letters from PLN’s editor that were mailed to inmates,
5 other than those identified above, who were in custody at the San Diego County jails
6 at the time the letter correspondences were received.

7 Censorship of Books

8 41. Defendants’ have prevented Plaintiffs from sending the *Habeas*
9 *Citebook: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel (Habeas Citebook)*, to inmates at the
10 San Diego County jails – a book published and distributed by Plaintiffs. Widely
11 used by prisoners and jail-house lawyers, the book describes the procedural and
12 substantive complexities of federal *habeas corpus* litigation with the goal of
13 identifying and litigating claims involving ineffective assistance of counsel.

14 42. PLN mailed individually addressed copies of the book to eight (8)
15 prisoners on or about the following dates, which were subsequently censored by
16 their respective facilities:

17 Prisoner Name:	Facility:	Date Mailed to Prisoner:
18 Charles Daniels	George Bailey	July 16, 2014
19 Chris Elliott	George Bailey	July 16, 2014
20 David J. Dadon	George Bailey	July 16, 2014
21 Amy Huntsman	Las Colinas	July 23, 2014
22 Aliyyh Brown	Las Colinas	July 23, 2014
23 Dustin Roberts	George Bailey	August 12, 2014
24 Carl Lee Roberts	George Bailey	August 12, 2014
25 Eli Robinson	George Bailey	August 12, 2014

26 43. These items have been sent by return mail at PLN’s expense indicating
27 various reasons for the return including the following: (1) “RETURN”; (2) “RTS”;
28 and (3) RETURN: NOT AUTHORIZED. HAS TO COME FROM PUBLISHER”,
despite the fact that Plaintiff is the publisher of *Habeas Citebook*; and (4)

1 “REASON FOR REJECT, BOOK SIZE NOT ALLOWED, DEMENSIONS [SIC]
2 TO [SIC] LARGE.”

3 44. On information and belief, Defendants refused to deliver at least an
4 additional nineteen (19) books that were mailed to inmates, other than those
5 identified above, who were in custody at the San Diego County jails at the time the
6 books were received.

7 **Failure to Provide Due Process**

8 45. Defendants did not provide PLN with constitutionally adequate due
9 process notice or with an opportunity to appeal the aforementioned censorship
10 decisions.

11 46. Defendants failed to provide due process notice to PLN of the reason
12 for rejecting PLN materials by, among other inadequacies, failing to explain the
13 basis for their censorship decisions, failing to identify the specific mail policy they
14 relied on, stating different reasons for censoring identical items of mail, and
15 otherwise failing to give meaningful notice of the censorship. With all of the
16 rejected materials, Defendants did not provide any further information to PLN other
17 than what is noted above. At no time did Defendants provide an opportunity for
18 PLN to appeal the rejection of its mail.

19 47. On information and belief, Defendants fail to provide due process
20 notice and an opportunity to appeal to other senders of censored mail addressed to
21 prisoners at the San Diego County jails.

22 48. On information and belief, Defendants fail to provide any notice to the
23 prisoner-addressees that the Jail censored PLN’s mail. Defendants also fail to
24 provide the prisoner-addressees with any opportunity to be heard to challenge the
25 censorship decisions.

26 **Jail Policies and Practices**

27 49. Starting in September 2012, Defendants began applying a postcard only
28 policy which requires all incoming mail addressed to inmates at the San Diego

1 County jails, with the exception of legal mail, to be in postcard form (hereinafter
2 “Postcard Only Mail Policy”). Defendants’ web site explaining that policy states, in
3 pertinent part: *“Effective September 1, 2012, the only acceptable form of incoming*
4 *personal public correspondence will be postcards and electronic mail messages (e-*
5 *mail). Personal incoming letters will no longer be accepted. Any incoming*
6 *personal letters received will be returned to the sender.”* Defendants’ web site also
7 provides that books must be “be no larger than 6" x 9" x 2" thick.”

8 50. Defendants’ Postcard Only Mail Policy and book policies, practices
9 and customs have been used to censor PLN’s correspondence with inmates at San
10 Diego County jails containing PLN’s monthly journal, informational brochure
11 packets, Internet-based printouts of case law, letters from the PLN Editor, Paul
12 Wright, and books published by PLN.

13 51. Defendants’ conduct prohibiting PLN from mailing its publications,
14 informational brochure packets, Internet-based printouts of case law and letters to
15 inmates confined at the San Diego County jails violates the First Amendment.
16 Defendants’ policies, practices and customs censor these expressive activities and
17 have a chilling effect on PLN’s future speech and expression directed toward
18 inmates confined there. Defendants’ policies, practices and customs are
19 unconstitutional both facially and as applied to Prison Legal News.

20 52. Prison Legal News publishes and distributes content concerning the
21 rights of inmates and the means by which they may obtain relief from
22 unconstitutional conditions of confinement. As a result, PLN is informed and
23 believes that Defendants have retaliated against PLN by refusing to deliver PLN’s
24 written materials to inmates held at the jails.

25 53. Defendants’ actions have violated, continue to violate, and are
26 reasonably expected in the future to violate PLN’s constitutional rights, and have
27 caused Plaintiff financial harm in the form of lost subscriptions, lost opportunities
28 for purchases and sales of its publications, lost opportunities for book sales, and

1 diversion of resources to address the censorship. In addition, Defendants' actions
2 have frustrated Plaintiff's mission of education and advocacy, including the
3 dissemination of PLN's political message, and the reporting and publishing of news
4 regarding the human and legal rights of persons held in prisons and jails. Further,
5 Defendants' actions have interfered with PLN's ability to recruit new donors,
6 writers and supporters.

7 54. Defendants' actions and inactions were and are motivated by ill motive
8 and intent, and were and are all committed under color of law with reckless
9 indifference to PLN's rights.

10 55. Defendants COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM D. GORE, RICH
11 MILLER, WILL BROWN, DOES 1-10, and other agents of the County of San
12 Diego are responsible for or personally participated in creating and implementing
13 these unconstitutional policies, practices, and customs, or for ratifying or adopting
14 them. Further, Defendants are responsible for training and supervising the mail staff
15 whose conduct has injured and continues to injure PLN.

16 56. Defendants' unconstitutional policy, practices, and customs are
17 ongoing, continue to violate PLN's rights, and are the moving force behind the
18 constitutional violations. As such, PLN has no adequate remedy at law.

19 57. PLN is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants from
20 refusing to deliver publications, informational brochures packets, and other
21 correspondence from *Prison Legal News* without any legal justification, and
22 prohibiting Defendants from censoring mail without due process of law.

23 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

24 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
25 **(Against All Defendants - For Violations of the First Amendment Under Color**
26 **Of State Law – Free Speech; Section 1983)**

27 58. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding
28 paragraphs.

1 causing Plaintiff injury and depriving it of its constitutional rights.

2 68. As a result of the forgoing, Plaintiff seeks exemplary and punitive
3 damages against the individual Defendants.

4 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
5 **(Against All Defendants - For Violations of the Due Process Clause of the**
6 **Fourteenth Amendment Under Color Of State Law; Section 1983)**

7 69. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding
8 paragraphs.

9 70. By failing to give Plaintiff sufficient notice of the censorship of its
10 written speech, and by failing to give an opportunity to be heard with respect to that
11 censorship, Defendants have deprived and continue to deprive Plaintiff of liberty
12 and property without due process of law, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
13 to the United States Constitution via 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

14 71. The acts described above have caused and will continue to cause
15 damage to Plaintiff.

16 72. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as nominal and
17 compensatory damages, against all Defendants.

18 73. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and based thereon alleges that in
19 engaging in the conduct alleged herein, the individual Defendants acted with the
20 intent to injure, vex, annoy and harass Plaintiff, and subjected Plaintiff to cruel and
21 unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights with the intention of
22 causing Plaintiff injury and depriving it of its constitutional rights.

23 74. As a result of the forgoing, Plaintiff seeks exemplary and punitive
24 damages against the individual Defendants.

25 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
26 **(Against All Defendants - For Violations of the Equal Protection Clause of the**
27 **Fourteenth Amendment Under Color Of State Law; Section 1983)**

28 75. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding

1 paragraphs.

2 76. By prohibiting the delivery of Plaintiff's publications but allowing the
3 delivery of the publications of others who are similarly situated, Defendants have
4 deprived and continue to deprive Plaintiff of equal protection under the laws, in
5 violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution through 42
6 U.S.C. § 1983.

7 77. The acts described above have caused and will continue to cause
8 damage to Plaintiff.

9 78. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as nominal and
10 compensatory damages, against all Defendants.

11 79. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and based thereon alleges that in
12 engaging in the conduct alleged herein, the individual Defendants acted with the
13 intent to injure, vex, annoy and harass Plaintiff, and subjected Plaintiff to cruel and
14 unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights with the intention of
15 causing Plaintiff injury and depriving it of its constitutional rights.

16 80. As a result of the forgoing, Plaintiff seeks exemplary and punitive
17 damages against the individual Defendants.

18 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 The conduct previously alleged, unless and until enjoined by order of this
20 Court, will cause great and irreparable injury to Plaintiff. Further, a judicial
21 declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time so that all parties may know
22 their respective rights and act accordingly.

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as follows:

24 1. A declaration that Defendants' policies, practices, and customs violate
25 the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

26 2. An order enjoining all Defendants and their employees, agents, and any
27 and all persons acting in concert with them from further violating Plaintiff's and
28 other senders' civil rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

States Constitution.

3. Nominal damages for each violation of Plaintiff’s rights by the Defendants.

4. Compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

5. Punitive damages against the individual Defendants in an amount to be proven at trial.

6. Costs, including reasonable attorney’s fees, under 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and under other applicable law.

7. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

8. Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

DATED: October 9, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP

By: /s/ Ernest Galvan
Ernest Galvan

[1330019-2]

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

'14CV2417 L NLS

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

PRISON LEGAL NEWS, a project of the HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings County, WA
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
SEE ATTACHMENT

DEFENDANTS

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; WILLIAM D. GORE, RICH MILLER, WILL BROWN, in their individual and official capacities; DOES 1-10, in their individual and official capacities

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	
			IMMIGRATION	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
42 U.S.C. 1983

Brief description of cause:
Violation of Plaintiff's free press and due process under U.S. Constitution and California constitution

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ TBD CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE 10/09/2014 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET
Prison Legal News v. County of San Diego, et al.

I. (c) Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ERNEST GALVAN – 196065
BLAKE THOMPSON – 255600
ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP
315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor
San Francisco, California 94104-1823
Telephone: (415) 433-6830
Facsimile: (415) 433-7104
Email: egalvan@rbgg.com
bthompson@rbgg.com

JULIA YOO – 231163
IREDALE & YOO APC
105 West “F” Street, 4th Floor
San Diego, California 92101-6087
Telephone: (619) 233-1525
Facsimile: (619) 233-3221
Email: jyoo@iredalelaw.com

LANCE WEBER – Fla. Bar No. 104550*
SABARISH NEELAKANTA – Fla. Bar No. 26623*
HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER
P.O. Box 1151
Lake Worth, Florida 33460
Telephone: (561) 360-2523
Facsimile: (866) 735-7136
Email: lweber@humanrightsdefensecenter.org
sneelakanta@humanrightsdefensecenter.org

* *Pro Hac Vice* Applications To Be Filed