EMPLOYMENT LAW AND INFORMATION QUARTERLY STATISTICS LITIGATION STATISTICS October 1, 1992 - December 31, 1992

LOC	NUM	нс	FTC	BIV	ОТН	ANS	PEN	CLD	н/т	SE	AWD
MXR											
NER											
SER									·		
NCR											
SCR											
WXR											
со											
TOT	3	0	0	0	3	2	2	1	0	0	0

^{*}Title VII

NARRATIVE (update)

Helen L. Archie v. William Barr, Civil Action No. 91-1585

Depositions of Sandra Hurst, Stanley Wexler, John Flynn, and Sandra Parks were scheduled for November 23, 1992. These depositions were rescheduled for December 4, 1992, due to a conflict in plaintiff's schedule. The depositions did not go forward on December 4, 1992, due to plaintiff's failure to provide the defendant with notices of deposition. On December 7, 1992, the defendant received notices of deposition and subpoenas for depositions of the above-named individuals scheduled for December 11, 1992. The notices were legally defective under the local rules of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (D.C. Dist. R. 208). The subpoenas were also defective under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45. depositions were held on December 11, 1992. The depositions were rescheduled for December 17, 1992, but that date was cancelled because of the holiday travel and leave plans of the employees who were to be deposed. Defendant offered in a letter to the plaintiff dated December 16, 1992, to reschedule the depositions for January of 1993.

Adnan Niaz Mir v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, 8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding, Case No. 92B00225

On October 7, 1992, complainant filed a complaint with the United States Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration and Review, Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer. His complaint alleged that he was denied employment at the Federal Correctional Institution (FCI) in Sheridan, Oregon because of his citizenship status. Mary Sullivan, Attorney, FCI Sheridan, requested the assistance of the Employment Law and Information Branch of the Office of General Counsel. On November 16, 1992, respondent filed a motion for dismissal of the complaint pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.10. On November 17, 1992, respondent filed its answer to the complaint.

<u>James I. Watts v. Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons</u>, Civil Action No.88-2824

Judgement for the Federal Bureau of Prisons was entered on November 18, 1992. Plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial on December 2, 1992. On December 7, 1992, the Judge issued an order denying plaintiff's motion.