

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Legal Counsel



Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 201530

September 6, 2004

John A. Rizzo, Esq. Acting General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear John:

NE) You have asked our advice regarding whether the use of twelve TS particular interrogation techniques (attention grasp, walling, facial hold, facial slap (insult slap), cramped confinement, wall standing, stress positions, sleep deprivation distant an an industry of the standard statement was a stress position. would nudity, water dousing, and abdominal slap) in the interrogation af violate any United States statute (including 18 U.S.C. § 2340A)), the United States Constitution. or any treaty obligation of the United States, We understand that is an lal- Qa'ida operative who "is believed to be involved in the operational planning of an al-Qa'ida attack or attacks to take place in the United States prior to the November elections." September 5, 2004 letter from to Dan Levin. This letter confirms our advice that the use of these datside territory subject to United States jurisdiction would not violate any techniques on of these provisions. We will supply, at a later date, an opinion that explains the basis for this conclusion. Our advice is based on, and limited by, the following conditions:

1. The use of these techniques will conform to all representations previously made to us, including those listed in my August 26, 2004 letter to you.

2. The medical and psychological facts and assessments for the second indicate that there are no medical or psychological contraindications to the use of any of these techniques as you plan to employ them.

3. Medical officers will be present to observe **the event of vertex event** any enhanced techniques are applied and will closely monitor him while he is subject to sleep deprivation or dietary manipulation, in addition to the normal monitoring of him throughout his detention, to ensure that he does not sustain any physical or mental harm.

TOP SECRET NOFORN/MR

4

TOP SECRET/ (FS. NOFORN/MR (FS. NF). We express no opinion on any other uses of these technic

(NF). We express no opinion on any other uses of these techniques, nor do we address any other techniques or any conditions under whidh the second techniques are held. Furthermore, this letter does not constitute the Department of Justice's policy approval for use of the techniques in this or any other case.

í

Sincerely,

·-----

Daniel Levin Acting Assistant Attorney General

